



The integration of a three-year-long intercultural collaborative project into a foreign language classroom for the development of intercultural competence

Maki Hirotani¹ and Kiyomi Fujii²

Abstract. Many studies on intercultural communication introduced how their collaborative projects were conducted. There are also several studies that discuss how intercultural collaborative activities can be integrated into a foreign language curriculum, as well as a big project (the INTENT project) that helps teachers integrate collaborative activities into their language curricula. Nonetheless, intercultural collaborative projects have not yet been mainstreamed for various reasons, such as insufficient pedagogical support from their institutions and a lack of interest in getting involved in projects among colleagues. We need to continuously examine and develop activities that can be relatively easily integrated into language curricula and that are appealing to more teachers to get involved in collaborative projects. Starting in the fall of 2013, we have been conducting a three-year experimental Facebook video project with learners of English in Japan and those of Japanese in the US. This paper will provide a brief overview of the Facebook collaboration projects and present the outcomes.

Keywords: intercultural competence, Facebook, Japanese as a foreign language, English as a foreign language.

1. Introduction

Many researchers of foreign language learning have pointed out the importance of the development of learners' intercultural competence along with their

How to cite this article: Hirotani, M., & Fujii. K. (2015). The integration of a three-year-long intercultural collaborative project into a foreign language classroom for the development of intercultural competence. In F. Helm, L. Bradley, M. Guarda, & S. Thouësny (Eds), *Critical CALL – Proceedings of the 2015 EUROCALL Conference, Padova, Italy* (pp. 235-242). Dublin: Research-publishing.net. http://dx.doi.org/10.14705/rpnet.2015.000339

^{1.} Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology, United States; hirotani@rose-hulman.edu

^{2.} Kanazawa Institute of Technology, Japan; kfujii@neptune.kanazawa-it.ac.jp

language competencies. It has been claimed that learners could develop their intercultural communication skills through activities with native speakers, and many collaborative projects have been conducted in foreign language education (e.g. Belz, 2003; Jauregi & Canto, 2012). There are several studies that discuss how intercultural collaborative activities can be integrated into a foreign language curriculum, as well as a big project (the INTENT project) that helps teachers integrate collaborative activities into their language curricula (e.g. Furstenberg & Levet, 2010; O'Dowd, n.d.). Nonetheless, intercultural collaborative projects have not yet been mainstreamed for various reasons, such as insufficient pedagogical support from their institutions and a lack of interest in getting involved in projects among colleagues due to the amount of work for organizing a project (O'Dowd, 2011). We need to continuously examine and develop activities that can be relatively easily integrated into language curricula and that are appealing to more teachers to get involved in collaborative projects.

Starting in the fall of 2013, we have been conducting a three-year experimental Facebook video project using learners of English in Japan and those of Japanese in the US. The purpose of our research is to identify effective procedures and activities to establish guidelines for intercultural collaboration which could be integrated into an actual foreign language curriculum. During the first and the second years of this project, we have reviewed the outcomes of the previous year's project and revised the procedure and activities for the next project. We plan to conduct a more systematic project in the fall and winter of 2015 and 2016. This paper will briefly provide an overview of the Facebook collaboration projects and present part of the outcomes of the projects.

2. Method

2.1. Overview of the project

The students involved in this project have all been enrolled in the same English (general English) and Japanese (second-year Japanese) courses at the same colleges in Japan (KIT) (*N*=40) and the US (RH) (*N*=21), respectively. Each collaborative project lasts from September to February every year, and the students work on many activities both in English and Japanese to develop their intercultural competence as well as their linguistic competencies. The following chart shows a brief overview of the project conducted from 2013 to 2014 and 2014 to 2015.

As shown in Figure 1, each project started and ended with a questionnaire which is a self-evaluation of students' intercultural communication knowledge and skills. The

Facebook project started with a Skype meeting with their partner university. After producing self-introduction videos on Facebook, student groups at both schools worked on several sets of series of activities on particular topics, such as verbal/non-verbal communication, school culture introduction, and culture research survey, and interacted with each other during this phase. Each set of activities included several activities other than Facebook as well, such as reflection logs on the video assignments, in-class conversation activities, using the expressions introduced by the partner school, and in-class PowerPoint group presentations.

Figure 1. Overview of the project

```
Project phase (Sept/Oct.)
- Questionnaire 1

Project phase (Oct.- Feb.)

Fall (Oct. - Nov.)
- Skype meeting (in class; Live)
- Self-introduction (L2 video on Facebook)
- Verbal and non-verbal exp.-related activities (L1 exp. intro video; L2 skit video on Facebook)
- School life-related activities (L2 video on Facebook)
- PowerPoint group presentation on the fall project-related activities (in class; RH only)

Winter (Dec. - Feb.)
- Verbal expressions-related activities (L1 interview video; L2 skit video on Facebook)
- Specific culture topic-related activities (L2 videos on Facebook); Survey)
- PowerPoint group presentation on cultural comparison (in class; RH only)

Post-project phase (Feb.)
- Questionnaire 2
```

For verbal and non-verbal communication activities conducted in the fall, for instance, in case of RH students, each group introduced English verbal and non-verbal expressions and posted their video on the English page on Facebook. KIT students did the same tasks: introducing Japanese expressions and posted videos on the Japanese page. Each RH student then learned the L2 (Japanese) expressions and worked on the self-reflection log. Each group produced a skit video in L2, posted the video on the Japanese page, and interacted with KIT students.

The culture-research survey activities, on the other hand, were research based, though there was less interaction observed on Facebook due to the nature of the activities. Figure 2 shows a series of activities that each group worked on³.

^{3.} Due to the curriculum difference, only RH students worked on the fifth and the six activities.

1. Prepared a survey questionnaire in both English and Japanese

Sent the survey to the partner school instructor

2. Conducted the survey to students (20+) at their own school

3. Filmed a short group presentation on the survey result on their own school and post the video on Facebook

5. Analyzed the survey collected from the partner school and worked on a self-reflection log on the individual basis

6. Gave a longer group presentation on cultural comparison In class activity (Also, filmed and posted on Facebook)

Figure 2. Culture research survey

2.2. Materials

We have used a wide range of methods to assess our intercultural collaborative projects with reference to the previous research (Deardorff, 2009), including observation by the instructors, student presentations, student portfolios (several sets of assignments in both written and oral forms), and pre- and post-self-evaluation questionnaires conducted before and after each project. However, we will present the outcomes of the self-assessment questionnaires for the sake of the limited space in this paper.

2.3. Self-assessment questionnaires

As one of the tools to assess learners' intercultural communication knowledge and competence, we prepared self-assessment questionnaires which were used before and after each project in 2013-2014 and 2014-2015.

The questionnaires consisted of self-evaluation items on verbal and non-verbal communication knowledge (section A) and skills (B) as well as attitudes toward other cultures (C). We referred to the intercultural knowledge and competence rubric prepared by The American Association of Colleges and Universities for section A and B items (AACU⁴), Elola and Oskoz (2008) for section C (see Appendix).

^{4.} https://www.aacu.org/sites/default/files/files/VALUE/InterculturalKnowledge.pdf

3. Results and conclusion

As shown in Table 1, the results of the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test showed a significant difference between the pre- and the post-project in many of the questionnaire items. Overall, students at both colleges tended to feel that they gained more knowledge (A) and skills (B) of verbal and non-verbal communication. The reason that the RH students' results in C1 and C2 did not show significant differences might be related to the initial differences between the two schools. The Mann Whitney test showed that RH students scored significantly higher regarding the relevance between the language and culture (C1) and lower regarding sharing the same values among people in the same society (C2). These results imply that the average RH student had thought that learning the culture was important to learn the target language and that each individual in one society had different values toward money, family, and religions before the project started.

Table 1. Results of the Wilcoxon signed ranks test

		A (knowledge)		B (skills)		C (attitudes)		
	N	A1. Verbal	A2. Non-v	B1. Verbal	B2. Non-v	C1	C2	C3
RH (US)	21	<.01	<.05	<.01	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	<.05
KIT (Japan)	40	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	n.s.	<.05

Concerning item C3 (learning cultural differences), we observed the opposite results: while RH students thought that they learned cultural differences through the project, KIT students did not. These differences were statistically significant. This might be related to the fact that due to the curriculum difference, KIT students could not work on cultural comparison related tasks (see items 4 and 5 in Figure 2), that their partner schools did. Even though students at both schools completed almost the same tasks throughout the project, explicit tasks on cultural differences and similarities between two schools (i.e. student presentation) might have actually helped students to reflect on them or perhaps have simply affected student perceptions of how much they learned. Further studies in this regard shall be called for.

References

Belz, J. A. (2003). Linguistic perspectives on the development of intercultural competence in telecollaboration. *Language learning & Technology*, 7(2), 68-99.

Deardorff, D. K. (2009). Implementing intercultural competence assessment. In Darla K. Deardorff (Ed.), *The SAGE handbook of intercultural competence* (pp. 477-491). Los Angeles, CA: SAGE.

- Elola, I., & Oskoz, A. (2008). Blogging: fostering intercultural competence development in foreign language and study abroad context. Foreign Language Annals, 41(3), 454-477. doi:10.1111/j.1944-9720.2008.tb03307.x
- Furstenberg, G., & Levet, S. (2010). Integrating telecollaboration into the language classroom: some insights. In S. Guth & F. Helm (Eds.), *Telecollaboration 2.0. Language, literacies and intercultural learning in the 21st century* (pp. 305-336). New York: Peter Lang AG.
- Jauregi, K., & Canto, S. (2012). Impact of native-nonnative speaker interaction through videoweb communication and Second Life on students' intercultural communicative competence. In L. Bradley & S. Thouësny (Eds.), CALL: Using, Learning, Knowing, EUROCALL Conference, Gothenburg, Sweden, 22-25 August 2012, Proceedings (pp. 151-155). Dublin Ireland: Research-publishing.net. doi:10.14705/rpnet.2012.000043
- O'Dowd. (n.d.). INTENT project news. *Scoop. It.* Retrieved from http://www.scoop.it/t/intent-project-news
- O'Dowd, R. (2011). Online foreign language interaction: moving from the periphery to the core of foreign language education? *Language Teaching*, 44(3), 368-380. doi:10.1017/S0261444810000194

Appendix

Questionnaire

Please answer the following questions. This questionnaire will provide us with information that will help us develop the cultural curriculum for this course. Please, be honest, since this questionnaire will not affect your grade.

A. Knowledge on intercultural communication (verbal: A-1; non-verbal A-2)

Which following statement(s) well describe(s) your knowledge on intercultural communication?

		A-1: <u>In verbal</u> <u>communication</u> (choose one ↓)	A-2: <u>In non-verbal</u> <u>communication</u> (choose one ↓)
1	I have a minimal level of understanding of cultural differences in verbal/non-verbal communication.		
2	I identify some cultural differences in verbal/ non-verbal communication and am aware that misunderstandings can occur based on those differences.		

3	I recognize and participate in cultural differences in verbal/non-verbal communication.	
4	I articulate a complex understanding of cultural differences in verbal/non-verbal communication (e.g. demonstrates understanding of the degree to which people use physical contact while communicating in different cultures or use direct/indirect and explicit/implicit meanings).	

B. Skills on intercultural communication (verbal: B-1; non-verbal: B-2)

Which following statement well describe(s) your skills on intercultural communication?

		B-1: <u>In verbal</u> communication (choose one ↓)	B-2: <u>In non-verbal</u> <u>communication</u> (choose one ↓)
1	I am unable to negotiate a shared understanding of cultural differences <u>in verbal/non-verbal communication</u> in Japanese.		
2	I begin to negotiate a shared understanding based on cultural differences in verbal/non-verbal communication in Japanese.		
3	I am able to negotiate a shared understanding of cultural differences <u>in verbal/non-verbal</u> <u>communication</u> in Japanese.		
4	I am able to skillfully negotiate a shared understanding based on various aspects of cultural differences in Japanese .		

C. On a scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree), answer the following statements

1. Given that I am studyi	ng Japanese, it is rele	evant to learn about Japa	anese culture (from Ja	ipan).
1	2	3	4	5
Explain:				

and religion.						
1	2	3	4	5		
Explain:						
3. I believe that talking to the KIT students will help me see that there are differences between people						
from your home country and people from Japan.						
1	2	3	4	5		
Explain:						

2. I believe that in general people in Japan have the same values towards family, money, education



Published by Research-publishing.net, not-for-profit association Dublin, Ireland; info@research-publishing.net

© 2015 by Research-publishing.net (collective work) © 2015 by Author (individual work)

Critical CALL – Proceedings of the 2015 EUROCALL Conference, Padova, Italy Edited by Francesca Helm, Linda Bradley, Marta Guarda, and Sylvie Thouësny

Rights: All articles in this collection are published under the Attribution-NonCommercial -NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) licence. Under this licence, the contents are freely available online (as PDF files) for anybody to read, download, copy, and redistribute provided that the author(s), editorial team, and publisher are properly cited. Commercial use and derivative works are, however, not permitted.



Disclaimer: Research-publishing.net does not take any responsibility for the content of the pages written by the authors of this book. The authors have recognised that the work described was not published before, or that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. While the information in this book are believed to be true and accurate on the date of its going to press, neither the editorial team, nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein. While Research-publishing, net is committed to publishing works of integrity, the words are the authors' alone.

Trademark notice: product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Copyrighted material: every effort has been made by the editorial team to trace copyright holders and to obtain their permission for the use of copyrighted material in this book. In the event of errors or omissions, please notify the publisher of any corrections that will need to be incorporated in future editions of this book.

Typeset by Research-publishing.net Fonts used are licensed under a SIL Open Font License

ISBN13: 978-1-908416-28-5 (Paperback - Print on demand, black and white)

Print on demand technology is a high-quality, innovative and ecological printing method; with which the book is never 'out of stock' or 'out of print'.

ISBN13: 978-1-908416-29-2 (Ebook, PDF, colour) ISBN13: 978-1-908416-30-8 (Ebook, EPUB, colour)

Legal deposit, Ireland: The National Library of Ireland, The Library of Trinity College, The Library of the University of Limerick, The Library of Dublin City University, The Library of NUI Cork, The Library of NUI Maynooth, The Library of University College Dublin, The Library of NUI Galway.

Legal deposit, United Kingdom: The British Library.
British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data.
A cataloguing record for this book is available from the British Library.

Legal deposit, France: Bibliothèque Nationale de France - Dépôt légal: décembre 2015.